

Propositional Logic: Rules of Inference

Section 1.6 (the first couple of parts only)

Rules of Inference for Propositional Logic: Modus Ponens

$$\begin{array}{l} p \rightarrow q \\ p \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array}$$

Example:

Let p be “It is snowing.”

Let q be “I will study discrete math.”

“If it is snowing, then I will study discrete math.”

“It is snowing.”

“Therefore, I will study discrete math.”

Rules of Inference for Propositional Logic: Modus Ponens

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad p}{\therefore q}$$

In order to see that this is a valid reasoning step we can replace it with the following proposition,

$$(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$$

and show that it is a tautology, that is, it holds for all assignments of p and q .

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	$(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T

Modus Tollens

$$\begin{array}{r} p \rightarrow q \\ \neg q \\ \hline \therefore \neg p \end{array}$$

Corresponding Tautology:
 $(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$

Example:

Let p be “it is snowing.”

Let q be “I will study discrete math.”

“If it is snowing, then I will study discrete math.”

“I will not study discrete math.”

“Therefore, it is not snowing.”

Simplification

$$\frac{p \wedge q}{\therefore q}$$

Corresponding Tautology:
 $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$

Example:

Let p be “I will study discrete math.”

Let q be “I will study English literature.”

“I will study discrete math and English literature”

“Therefore, I will study discrete math.”

Conjunction

$$\frac{p}{q}$$

$$\therefore p \wedge q$$

Corresponding Tautology:
 $((p) \wedge (q)) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$

Example:

Let p be “I will study discrete math.”

Let q be “I will study English literature.”

“I will study discrete math.”

“I will study English literature.”

“Therefore, I will study discrete math and I will study English literature.”

Using the Rules of Inference to Build Valid Arguments

- A *valid argument* is a sequence of statements. Each statement is either a premise or follows from previous statements by rules of inference. The last statement is called conclusion.
- A valid argument takes the following form:

$$\begin{array}{c} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ S_n \\ \\ \therefore C \end{array}$$

Valid Arguments

Example 1: From the single proposition

$$p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$$

Show that q is a conclusion.

Solution:

Step	Reason
1. $p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	Premise
2. p	Conjunction using (1)
3. $p \rightarrow q$	Conjunction using (1)
4. q	Modus Ponens using (2) and (3)

Valid Arguments

Example 2:

- With these hypotheses:
 - “It is not sunny this afternoon and it is colder than yesterday.”
 - “We will go swimming **only** if it is sunny.”
 - “If we do not go swimming, then we will take a canoe trip.”
 - “If we take a canoe trip, then we will be home by sunset.”
- Using the inference rules, construct a valid argument for the conclusion:
 - “We will be home by sunset.”

Solution:

1. Choose propositional variables:

p : “It is sunny this afternoon.”

r : “We will go swimming.”

q : “It is colder than yesterday.”

s : “We will take a canoe trip.”

t : “We will be home by sunset.”

2. Translation into propositional logic:

Hypotheses: $\neg p \wedge q, r \rightarrow p, \neg r \rightarrow s, s \rightarrow t$

Conclusion: t

Valid Arguments

3. Construct the Valid Argument

Step	Reason
1. $\neg p \wedge q$	Premise
2. $\neg p$	Simplification using (1)
3. $r \rightarrow p$	Premise
4. $\neg r$	Modus tollens using (2) and (3)
5. $\neg r \rightarrow s$	Premise
6. s	Modus ponens using (4) and (5)
7. $s \rightarrow t$	Premise
8. t	Modus ponens using (6) and (7)