Representing Relations

Section 9.3

Representing Relations Using Matrices

- A relation between finite sets can be represented using a zero-one matrix.
- Suppose *R* is a relation from $A = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_m\}$ to $B = \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_n\}$.
- The relation R is represented by the matrix $M_R = [m_{ij}]$, where

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } (a_i, b_j) \in R, \\ 0 \text{ if } (a_i, b_j) \notin R. \end{cases}$$

• The matrix representing R has a 1 as its (i,j) entry when a_i is related to b_j and a 0 if a_i is not related to b_j .

Examples of Representing Relations Using Matrices

Example 1: Suppose that $A = \{1,2,3\}$ and $B = \{1,2\}$. Let R be the relation from A to B containing (a,b) with $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and a > b. What is the matrix representing R (assuming the ordering of elements is the same as the increasing numerical order)?

Solution: Because $R = \{(2,1), (3,1), (3,2)\}$, the matrix is

$$M_R = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Examples of Representing Relations Using Matrices (cont.)

Example 2: Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5\}$. Which ordered pairs are in the relation R represented by the matrix

$$M_R = \left[egin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight]?$$


Solution: Because R consists of those ordered pairs (a_i,b_j) with $m_{ij}=1$, it follows that:

$$R = \{(a_1, b_2), (a_2, b_1), (a_2, b_3), (a_2, b_4), (a_3, b_1), \{(a_3, b_3), (a_3, b_5)\}.$$

Matrices of Relations on Sets


• If R is a reflexive relation, all the elements on the main diagonal of M_R are equal to 1.

• R is a symmetric relation, if and only if $m_{ij} = 1$ whenever $m_{ji} = 1$.



Matrices of Relations on Sets

• R is an antisymmetric relation, if and only if $m_{ij} = 1$ with $i \neq j$, then $m_{ji} = 0$ for all i and j.



Example of a Relation on a Set

Example 3: Suppose that the relation *R* on a set is represented by the matrix

$$M_R = \left[egin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 1 & 1 \ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}
ight].$$

Is *R* reflexive, symmetric, and/or antisymmetric?


Solution: Because all the diagonal elements are equal to 1, R is reflexive. Because M_R is symmetric, R is symmetric and not antisymmetric because both $m_{1,2}$ and $m_{2,1}$ are 1.

Representing Relations Using Digraphs

Definition: A directed graph, or digraph, consists of a set V of vertices (or nodes) together with a set E of ordered pairs of elements of V called edges (or arcs). The vertex a is called the initial vertex of the edge (a,b), and the vertex b is called the terminal vertex of this edge.


• An edge of the form (*a*,*a*) is called a *loop*.

Example 7: A drawing of the directed graph with vertices a, b, c, and d, and edges (a, b), (a, d), (b, b), (b, d), (c, a), (c, b), and (d, b) is shown here.




Examples of Digraphs Representing Relations

Example 8: What are the ordered pairs in the relation represented by this directed graph?




Solution: The ordered pairs in the relation are


- *Reflexivity*: A loop must be present at all vertices in the graph.
- Symmetry: If (x,y) is an edge, then so is (y,x).
- Antisymmetry: If (x,y) with $x \neq y$ is an edge, then (y,x) is not an edge.
- Transitivity: If (x,y) and (y,z) are edges, then so is (x,z).




- *Reflexive?* No, not every vertex has a loop
- Symmetric? Yes (trivially), there is no edge from one vertex to another
- *Antisymmetric*? Yes (trivially), there is no edge from one vertex to another
- *Transitive?* Yes, (trivially) since there is no edge from one vertex to another



- *Reflexive?* No, there are no loops
- *Symmetric*? No, there is an edge from *a* to *b*, but not from *b* to *a*
- Antisymmetric? No, there is an edge from d to b and b to d
- *Transitive*? No, there are edges from *a* to *c* and from *c* to *b*, but there is no edge from *a* to *d*



Reflexive? No, there are no loops
Symmetric? No, for example, there is no edge from c to a
Antisymmetric? Yes, whenever there is an edge from one
vertex to another, there is not one going back
Transitive? Yes, there is an edge (a,b) given (a,c) and (c,b).



- *Reflexive?* No, there are no loops
- *Symmetric?* No, for example, there is no edge from *d* to *a*
- *Antisymmetric?* Yes, whenever there is an edge from one vertex to another, there is not one going back
- *Transitive?* Yes (trivially), there are no two edges where the first edge ends at the vertex where the second edge begins