Here we extend our language with a simple I/O mechanism that allows us to initialize variables and examine variables in the executing program:

 $C ::= put(A) \mid get(x)$

The informal semantics is that 'put' allows us to write an expression to the terminal and 'get' allows us to initialize a declared variable with an integer value read from the terminal.

The formal semantics is as follows:

```
(put(A),State) -->> State :- %io% writing
(A,State) -->> ValA,
write(A),
write(' is '),
writeln(ValA),!.
(get(X),State) -->> OState :- %io% reading
lookup(X,State,_),
write('Enter integer value for '),
write(X),
write(': '),
read(Val),
int(Val),
bindval(X,Val,State,OState),!.
```

- 《词》 《글》 《글》

Simple I/O

Now we can write programs such as these:

```
?- ['sem-block.pl'].
% xis.pl compiled 0.01 sec, 7,792 bytes
% preamble.pl compiled 0.01 sec, 8,956 bytes
% xis.pl compiled 0.00 sec, 148 bytes
% sem-block.pl compiled 0.01 sec, 18,284 bytes
true.
```

```
?- ((var(x) seq get(x) seq put(x)),s) -->> V.
Enter integer value for x: 3.
x is 3
V = push([bind(3, x)], s).
```

```
?- ((var(x) seq get(x) seq put(add(x,1))),s) -->> V.
Enter integer value for x: 5.
add(x,1) is 6
V = push([bind(5, x)], s).
```

(1日) (1日) (1日)

?-

Block Structured Languages

 In most languages a block introduces a new scope allowing for *local variable* declarations. In C blocks are introduced with the curly braces,

```
{
int x;
```

We can access the values of variables in non-local scope. Consider the following code,

```
{
    int x = 2;
    {
        int y = 3;
        x = y + x; /* accessing the surrounding scope via 'x' */
    }
    In most languages blocks can be nested \Rightarrow variable shadowing.
    {
        int x = 1;
        {
            int x = 2; /* the original 'x' is no longer visible in this scope */
    }
}
```

Recall that in our simple language we have variable declarations and now we introduce blocks:

C ::= var(x) | block(C)

Think of 'block' as 'begin C end' where C could be any command including sequential composition.

Going back to our observations on block structured languages

- Local variable declarations. When we leave a scope with local variables those variables should become undeclared:
 var(x) seq block(var(y) seq assign(y,1)) seq assign(y,x)
- Non-local side effects. When assigning a value to a variable declared in a surrounding scope we need to update the value of that variable, the value printed out for x should be 2:
 var(x) seq assign(x,1) seq block(assign(x,2)) seq put(x)
- Variable shadowing. Redeclaring a variable in a nested scope with the same name as a variable in the outer scope makes the variable in the outer scope unavailable, the value printed out for x should be 1:

var(x) seq assign(x,1) seq block(var(x) seq assign(x,2)) seq put(x)

Block Structured Languages

Formal Semantics:

```
(var(X),State) -->> OState :-
                                        % decl.
   declarevar(X.State.OState).!.
(assign(X,A),State) -->> OState :-
                                        % assignment
   lookup(X,State,_),
                                        % only allowed to assign to variables
    (A.State) -->> ValA.
                                        % that have been declared
   bindval(X,ValA,State,OState),!.
(block(C),State) -->> OState :-
                                        %block%
                                                    block statement
   pushenv(State,LocalState),
    (C,LocalState) -->> S,
   popenv(S,OState),!.
```

Note: Each block now pushes its own binding environment on an environment stack.

Note: The new semantic procedures 'declarevar' and 'bindval' are necessary because declaring and binding is done differently with nested scopes.

Semantic procedures 'pushenv' and 'popenv':

```
pushenv(S,env([],S)) :- !.
```

- (E) (E)

```
popenv(env(_,S),S) :- !.
```

Looking up variable bindings in a stack of binding environments:

```
% the predicate 'lookup(+Variable,+State,-Value)' looks up
% the variable in the state and returns its bound value.
:- dynamic lookup/3. % modifiable predicate
```

```
lookup(_,s0,_) :- fail.
```

```
lookup(X,env([],S),Val) :-
lookup(X,S,Val),!.
```

```
lookup(X,env([bind(Val,X)|_],_),Val).
```

```
lookup(X,env([_|Rest],S),Val) :-
lookup(X,env(Rest,S),Val),!.
```

Semantic procedure 'declarevar':

```
% the predicate 'declarevar(+Variable,+State,-FinalState)' declares
% a variable by inserting a new binding term into the current
% environment.
:- dynamic declarevar/3. % modifiable predicate
declarevar(X,S,env([bind(0,X)],S)) :-
    atom(S),!.
```

declarevar(X,env(L,S),env([bind(0,X)|L],S)) :- !.

Semantic procedure 'bindval':

```
% the predicate 'bindval(+Variable,+Value,+State,-FinalState)' updates
% a binding term in the state. this update is done "in place"
% in order to support global variables. the predicate has to
% search both the binding list and the stack of binding
% lists.
```

```
:- dynamic bindval/4.
```

% modifiable predicate

```
bindval(_,_,s0,_) :-
    fail.
```

```
bindval(X,Val,env([],S),env([],NewS)) :-
bindval(X,Val,S,NewS),!.
```

bindval(X,Val,env([bind(_,X)|L],S),env([bind(Val,X)|L],S)),!.

```
bindval(X,Val,env([bind(V,Y)|L],S),env([bind(V,Y)|NewL],NewS)) :-
bindval(X,Val,env(L,S),env(NewL,NewS)),!.
```

```
?- ['sem-block.pl'].
% xis.pl compiled 0.00 sec, 7,792 bytes
% preamble.pl compiled 0.00 sec, 148 bytes
% xis.pl compiled 0.00 sec, 148 bytes
% sem-block.pl compiled 0.00 sec, 18,192 bytes
true.
?- ((var(x) seq block( var(y) seq assign(y,1) ) seq assign(y,x)),s) -->> V.
false.
?- ((var(x) seq assign(x,1) seq block( assign(x,2) ) seq put(x)),s) -->> V.
x is 2
V = env([bind(2, x)], s).
?- ((var(x) seq assign(x,1) seq block( var(x) seq assign(x,2) ) seq put(x)),s) -->> V.
x is 1
V = env([bind(1, x)], s).
?-
```

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆目 > ◆目 > ● 目 ● のへで